A Simple Skew Angle Detection and Alleviation based on **Readback Signal in Bit-Patterned Magnetic Recording**



Santi Koonkarnkhai¹, Chanon Warisarn², and Piya Kovintavewat¹

Advanced Signal Processing for Disruptive Innovation Research Center, NPRU, NakhonPathom–73000, Thailand. ²College of Advanced Manufacturing Innovation, KMITL, Bangkok–10520, Thailand.



MOTIVATION

* Bit-patterned magnetic recording (BPMR) can increase an areal density (AD) up to 4 Tb/in².

% Skew angle (SA) can change the relative placement of read/write elements on the slider, leading to a design issue in servo and write synchronization.

* In conventional systems, the SA can increase up to 35° (degrees) for inner and outer diameters [1].

% Without a SA detection and alleviation method, the system performance will dramatically degrade, particularly at high ADs.

% Fig. 1(a) displays a BPMR magnetic medium and an MR read head with SA effect, whereas Fig. 1(b) shows the impulse responses of upper, center, and lower tracks at 0° (degree) and 30° SA at AD of 3 Tb/in². Clearly, the impulse responses rely on the SA.



CHANNEL MODEL



Fig. 2. A BPMR channel model with the SA effect.

※ BPMR channel model with the SA effect

Coefficients of 2D channel response



- 2D Gaussian pulse response

- Readback Signal



PW₅₀ of the along-track pulse PW50 of the across-track pulse

where $x_r = x\cos(\theta) - z\sin(\theta)$, $z_r = x\sin(\theta) + z\cos(\theta)$, and θ is the skew angle in degree.

[1] Z. He, et al., MATEC Web of Conferences, 42 (2016). [2] S. Koonkarnkhai, et al., ECTI Trans. on Comp. and Info. Tech., 6 (2012) 175 - 182.

 $\mathbf{H} = \begin{vmatrix} h_{0,-1} & h_{0,0} & h_{0,1} \end{vmatrix}$ $h_{1,-1}$ $h_{1,0}$ $h_{1,1}$ **X** The 2D target (G) $g_{-1,-1}$ $g_{-1,0}$ $g_{-1,1}$

design based on an MMSE approach.

 $h_{-1,-1}$ $h_{-1,0}$ $h_{-1,1}$



X The SA alleviation

X The SA detection

After the SA is detected, a pair of *flipped-cross-track symmetric* 2D target and 1D equalizer associated with the estimated SA is employed to alleviate the SA in data detection process.

X Target and Equalizer Design:

The target and its corresponding equalizer are designed by minimizing the mean-squared error between the equalizer output z_k and the target output d_k according to

$$E\left[e_{k}^{2}\right] = E\left[\left(z_{k}-d_{k}\right)^{2}\right] = E\left[\left(\mathbf{f}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{y}_{k}-\mathbf{g}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{a}_{k}\right)\left(\mathbf{f}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{y}_{k}-\mathbf{g}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{a}_{k}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}\right]$$

X Design of a flipped-cross-track symmetric 2D target

Define: $g_{-1,-1} = g_{1,1}, g_{-1,0} = g_{1,0}, g_{1,-1} = g_{-1,1}, \mathbf{g} = [g_{-1-1} g_{0,-1} g_{1,-1} g_{-1,0} g_{0,0} g_{1,-1}]^T$ is the column vector of the target, $\mathbf{f} = [f_{-K} \dots f_0 \dots f_K]^T$ is the column vector of $g_{-1,0}$ the equalizer, M = 2K + 1 is the number of equalizer coefficients, L is the number of target coefficients, R = E[$\mathbf{y}_k \mathbf{y}_k^T$] is an *M*-by-*M* auto-correlation matrix of \mathbf{y}_k , A = $E[\mathbf{a}_k \mathbf{a}_k^T]$ is an *L*-by-*L* auto-correlation matrix of \mathbf{a}_k , and $\mathbf{T} = E[\mathbf{y}_k \mathbf{a}_k^T]$ is an *M*-by-*L* cross-correlation matrix of \mathbf{y}_k and \mathbf{a}_k , where $\mathbf{a}_k = [a_{-1,k} a_{0,k} a_{1,k} a_{-1,k-1} a_{0,k-1} a_{0,k-2}]^T$ and $\mathbf{y}_{k} = [y_{k+K} \dots y_{k} \dots y_{k-K}]^{T}$

MMSE: $E[\{e_k\}^2] = \mathbf{f}^T \mathbf{R} \mathbf{f} + \mathbf{g}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{g} - 2\mathbf{f}^T \mathbf{T} \mathbf{g} - 2(\lambda \mathbf{I}^T \mathbf{g} - 1), \text{ where } \mathbf{I} = [0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0]^T.$ Minimization process give:

$$\mathbf{R} = \frac{1}{\mathbf{I}^{\mathrm{T}} \left(\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{T} \right) \mathbf{I}} \qquad \mathbf{g} = \lambda \left(\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{T} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{I} \qquad \mathbf{f} = \mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{T} \mathbf{g}$$

SIMULATION RESULTS

X Parameter Setting **SNR** = $10\log_{10}(1/\sigma^2)$ in dB, **Equalizer** : 7-tap 1D equalizer, and **AD**: at 3.0 Tb/in², $T_x = T_z = 14.5$ nm. and 3.5 Tb/in², $T_x = T_z = 13.5$ nm.

X Define

- "Conv." is the system without SA detection and correction.
- "Prop." is the proposed system with flipped-cross-track symmetric
- 2D target.
- "Asym. 2D" is the proposed system

with asymmetric 2D target [2].

X Conclusion

- The proposed method outperforms the conventional system as shown in Fig.4.

during read/write processes.



The Viterbi detector used for the flipped-cross-track symmetric 2D target has lower complexity than an asymmetric 2D target. Although high SA provides better performance than low SA due to less ITI effect (not shown here), a large SA definitely causes mechanical problems

Acknowledgments This work was supported by the Research and Development Institute, NPRU, Thailand.

PROPOSED METHOD

coefficient $g_{-1,0}$ at 3, 3.5, and 4 Tb/in² for different SAs.

- We found that there is a relationship between $h_{-1.0}$ and $g_{-1.0}$.

- Fig. 3 shows the SA profiles of the channel coefficient $h_{-1,0}$ and the target

- A simple SA detection method will utilize $g_{\text{-}1,0}$ to approximate the SA experienced in the system (i.e., $g_{-1,0} \approx h_{-1,0}$) by using the target and equalizer